Phylogenetic Relationships Among the Methylophilic Methane-Producing Bacteria and Emendation of the Family *Methanosarcinaceae*

KEVIN R. SOWERS,* JOHN L. JOHNSON, AND JAMES G. FERRY

Department of Anaerobic Microbiology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

The phylogenetic relationships among seven strains of methylophilic methane-producing bacteria were determined by ribosomal ribonucleic acid hybridization and deoxyribonucleic acid homology techniques. The strains tested had deoxyribonucleic acid guanine-plus-cytosine contents of 39 to 43 mol% and represented a diversity of phenotypic characteristics. Our results indicate that these strains should be divided into six species within the genera *Methanosarcina*, *Methanobrevibacter*, and *Methanococcoides*. The genus *Methanosarcina* includes *Methanosarcina barkeri* strains MS and 227, *Methanosarcina* sp. strain TM-1, *Methanosarcina acetivorans* strain C2A, and *Methanosarcina mazei* strain S-6. The genus *Methanobrevibacter* is represented by *Methanobrevibacter tindarius* strain Tindari 3, and the genus *Methanococcoides* is represented by *Methanococcoides methylutens* strain TMA-10. Despite phenotypic similarities between *Methanobrevibacter tindarius* and *Methanococcoides methylutens*, we propose that these species remain in separate genera based on differences in ribosomal ribonucleic acid homology and fractional differences in midpoint temperatures. The divisions indicated by deoxyribonucleic acid homology experiments complemented the ribosomal ribonucleic acid hybridization results. Phenotypic characteristics were consistent with these phylogenetic divisions; an apparent exception was cell wall composition, which is a conserved trait. *Methanosarcina acetivorans* had only a thin protein cell wall, but all other strains of *Methanosarcina* previously studied have been reported to have heteropolysaccharide cell walls. We present evidence which indicates that a protein component may be associated with the heteropolysaccharide cell wall of *Methanosarcina barkeri* strain 227.

The methane-producing bacteria belong to the archaeobacteria, which, based on 16S oligonucleotide cataloging (6), are phylogenetically distinct from the eubacteria and eucaryotes. The most comprehensive phylogenetic study of the methanogenic bacteria was done by Balch et al. (1), who proposed the presently accepted divisions among this group. In that study, the *Methanosarcinaceae*, the only methanogenic family with methylophilic species, was represented by three strains of one species. Since then, several methylophilic methane-producing species have been described. These include *Methanosarcina mazei* (17), *Methanobrevibacter soehngenii* (8), *Methanobrevibacter tindarius* (15), *Methanococcoides methylutens* (26), and *Methanosarcina acetivorans* (25a). The taxonomic positions of only *Methanobrevibacter soehngenii* (27) and *Methanosarcina mazei* (17) are phylogenetically classified by 16S ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) oligonucleotide cataloging; the other taxa are based on phenotypic characteristics and guanine-plus-cytosine (G+C) contents of deoxyribonucleic acids (DNAs). Another isolate, *Methanosarcina* sp. strain TM-1, also has been described (35), but a specific epithet has not been proposed. The electrophoretic patterns of ribosomal proteins suggest that there are important phylogenetic differences between phenotypically similar strains of *Methanosarcina* that may not be detected by rRNA oligonucleotide cataloging (5). Although the methanogenic bacteria have been previously studied by rRNA hybridization techniques, only one methylophilic strain was included in that study (29). In this study the phylogenetic relationships of seven strains of methylophilic methane-producing bacteria were determined by rRNA hybridization and DNA reassociation techniques, and taxonomic divisions are proposed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. *Methanosarcina barkeri* strain MS (= DSM 800) was obtained from M. P. Bryant. *Methanosarcina barkeri* strain 227 (= DSM 1538), *Methanosarcina* sp. strain TM-1 (= DSM 1825), and *Methanosarcina mazei* strain S-6 (= DSM 2053) were obtained from R. A. Mah. *Methanobrevibacter tindarius* strain Tindari 3 (= DSM 2278) was obtained from K. O. Stetter. *Methanococcoides methylutens* strain TMA-10 (= DSM 2657) and *Methanosarcina acetivorans* strain C2A (= DSM 2834) have been described previously (25a, 26).

Media and growth conditions. Sterile media were prepared anaerobically in an atmosphere containing N₂ and CO₂ (80:20) (7). Gases were passed through a column of reduced copper filings at 350°C to remove traces of O₂. Nonmarine strains were maintained on agar slants of MPB medium that contained the following constituents in demineralized water (in final concentrations, wt/vol): Na₂CO₃, 0.3%; NH₄Cl, 0.14%; K₂HPO₄, 0.13%; KH₂PO₄, 0.13%; NaCl, 0.05%; MgSO₄, 0.05%; cysteine hydrochloride · H₂O, 0.027%; Na₂S · 9H₂O, 0.027%; CaCl₂ · 2H₂O, 0.006%; Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, 0.001%; yeast extract, 0.1%; Trypticase, 0.1%; and sodium acetate, 0.41%. In addition, 1% (vol/vol) vitamin solution and 1% (vol/vol) trace element solution were added to the medium (31). The pH of the medium was adjusted to 7.0 with HCl before autoclaving. The agar slants used for maintenance of *Methanosarcina acetivorans*, *Methanococcoides methylutens*, and *Methanobrevibacter tindarius* have been described previously (25a, 26). Cultures were stored at 20°C and transferred monthly.

Large-scale production of cell material. The cells used for extraction of nucleic acids and for cell wall isolation were grown in a 14-liter fermentor modified to operate as a pH auxostat (22), with acetic acid as the substrate. Strains that

* Corresponding author.

TABLE 1. Levels of DNA homology and G+C contents^a

Strain ^a	G+C content (mol%)	% DNA homology with reference DNA from strain:				
		MS	227	TM-1	C2A	T3
MS	39	100 ^b	98	34	27	
227	39	93	100	33	25	
TM-1	42	24	23	100	18	
C2A	42	12	11	14	100	
S-6	42	19	16	24	28	
TMA-10	42	1	1	3	2	4
Tindari 3	40	2	2	2	2	100

^a *Methanosarcina barkeri* strains MS and 227, *Methanosarcina* sp. strain TM-1, *Methanosarcina acetivorans* strain C2A, *Methanosarcina mazei* strain S-6, *Methanococcoides methylutens* strain TMA-10, and *Methanobolus tindarius* strain Tindari 3.

^b Homologous values are reported as 100%.

were unable to use acetate were grown on trimethylamine hydrochloride. The trimethylamine hydrochloride concentration was monitored with a gas chromatograph that contained a column (0.64 by 183 cm) packed with Carbowax B-4% Carbowax 20M-0.8% KOH (Supelco, Inc.). Trimethylamine hydrochloride was added to the culture to replace the depleted substrate. Late exponential phase cultures were harvested with a continuous flow centrifuge as described previously (22) and were stored in liquid nitrogen.

Cell wall preparation. Cell paste was suspended in 15 mM trisodium citrate buffer at pH 7.0 at a ratio of 1:2 (vol/vol) and was passed twice through a French pressure cell at 137 MPa. Cell walls were isolated and purified as described previously (26), with the following modification: whole cells were separated from cell wall fragments by centrifugation in a sucrose gradient. The lysate was layered over a linear (25 to 40%) sucrose gradient in citrate buffer (40 ml) in a tube (25 by 9.0 cm) and centrifuged at $3,000 \times g$ for 15 min. The cell wall fragments that banded near the surface of the gradient were removed with a Pasteur pipette. These cell wall preparations were free of whole cells, as determined by phase-contrast microscopy. Analyses for amino acids and amino sugars were performed as described previously (26).

DNA isolation. The frozen cells were suspended in a 0.15

M NaCl-0.01 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid salt solution (pH 8.0) supplemented with 0.2% (vol/vol) ribonuclease T₁ (2,500 U/ml) (10). The DNA was then isolated by one of two methods. Strains that had protein cell walls (strains C2A, TMA-10, and Tindari 3) were lysed by adding 20% sodium dodecyl sulfate to a final concentration of 1%. The DNA was then isolated by the ethanol precipitation method of Marmur (19). Strains with heteropolysaccharide cell walls (strains MS, 227, TM-1, and S-6) were lysed by one passage through a French pressure cell at 137 MPa into a flask that contained 20% sodium dodecyl sulfate (final concentration, 1%). Then 50 µg of proteinase K per ml was added to the lysate, and the preparation was incubated at 50°C for 1 h. The DNA was then isolated by the hydroxylapatite procedure (10), with the following modification: after the phenol extraction, the preparations were dialyzed in NaCl-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid buffer to remove the phenol and then treated with ribonuclease before hydroxylapatite extraction. The DNA preparations were stored in 15 mM NaCl-1.5 mM sodium citrate (pH 7.0) at -20°C.

RNA isolation. rRNA was isolated as described previously (10). The procedure was modified by suspending the ribonucleic acid (RNA) pellet in 3 M sodium acetate (pH 6.0) after the first ethanol precipitation (25). The RNA was centrifuged and suspended in 150 mM NaCl-15 mM sodium citrate (1× SSC) at pH 7.0 before the second ethanol precipitation. RNA preparations were stored in 1× SSC supplemented with 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate at -20°C.

Competitor rRNA preparations were adjusted to a concentration of 2 mg/ml. The 23S and 16S rRNA components were isolated by sucrose gradient centrifugation (25) and combined for labeling (11). The purities of the preparations were determined by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (2). The preparations were labeled with ¹²⁵I as described previously (25).

G+C content of DNA. The G+C contents of the DNA preparations were determined by thermal denaturation with a spectrophotometer and thermal programmer (Gilford Instrument Laboratories, Inc., Oberlin, Ohio) (10). DNA from *Escherichia coli* b isolated by both of the methods described above was used as a standard.

FIG. 1. Dendrograms of the phylogenetic relationships among the methylotrophic methane-producing bacteria. The dendrograms are based on average linkage clustering of the rRNA and DNA percent homology values from Tables 1 and 2. The standard deviations from the means are indicated by dotted lines.

TABLE 2. Levels of RNA homology

Strain	% RNA homology with reference RNA from strain:					
	MS	227	TM-1	C2A	TMA-10	T3
MS	100 ^a	98	88	83	60	50
227	100	100	89	84	61	55
TM-1	94	93	100	84	58	54
C2A	93	91	91	100	69	55
S-6	92	88	86	79	61	54
TMA-10	68	65	60	61	100	63
Tindari 3	71	67	62	61	71	100
VPI 2553	8	8	5	10	9	12
VPI 0061	10	6	3	11	6	

^a Homologous values are reported as 100%.

DNA homologies. The S1 nuclease procedure (10) was used to determine DNA homologies. The reassociation reaction mixtures contained 10 μ l (0.025 μ g) of labeled DNA, 50 μ l (20 μ g) of unlabeled DNA, and 50 μ l of a buffer that contained 880 mM NaCl and 1 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) at pH 7.0. Labeled DNA was denatured by heating in boiling water for 5 min. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 62°C for 24 h. S1 nuclease-resistant fragments were precipitated with HCl (21) and collected on type GF/F glass fiber filters (Whatman Ltd., Kent, England). The filters were dried and counted with a gamma scintillation counter (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Irvine, Calif.).

rRNA hybridizations. The competition rRNA hybridization procedure which we used has been described previously (11). The hybridization reaction mixtures contained 10 μ l (0.3 μ g) of labeled rRNA, 25 μ l (50 μ g) of competitor rRNA, and approximately 4 μ g of DNA immobilized on a nitrocellulose filter (3 by 9 mm), all contained in 600 mM NaCl–60 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (4 $\times$ SSC)–1 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0) supplemented with 45% formamide. The hybridization reaction mixtures were incubated at 50°C for 16 h.

rRNA hybrid thermal stabilities. The procedure used to determine the thermal stability profiles of rRNA hybrids with DNA immobilized on nitrocellulose filters has been described previously (10, 11). The elution buffer was 4 $\times$ SSC–1 mM HEPES (pH 7.0) containing 50% formamide. The temperatures used to obtain the dissociation profiles were 35 through 85°C at 5°C increments.

Chemicals. Purified agar and yeast extract were obtained from Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich. Trypticase was from BBL Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, Md. Trimethylamine hydrochloride was from Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc., Milwaukee, Wis. Ribonuclease A, ribonuclease T₁, deoxyribonuclease I, sodium dodecyl sulfate, cysteine hy-

drochloride, and all organic buffers and vitamins were from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo. Acrylamide, bisacrylamide, and DNA grade hydroxylapatite were from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, Calif. ¹²⁵I-Na was from New England Nuclear Corp., Boston, Mass. All other chemicals were reagent grade.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The G+C contents of seven strains of methylotrophic methane-producing bacteria (Table 1) were determined with standards which were isolated by using the same technique that was used for the DNA preparations assayed. The values for *Methanosarcina barkeri* strains MS and 227, *Methanosarcina* sp. strain TM-1, and *Methanosarcina mazei* were consistently 1 mol% lower than the values obtained by the buoyant density technique (R. Mah, personal communication). The G+C content of *Methanobrevibacter tindarius* was 6 mol% lower than the value of 45.9 mol% previously reported (15), but the reason for this discrepancy is not known.

Levels of DNA homology (Table 1) were determined with ¹²⁵I-labeled DNAs from five reference strains. A dendrogram (Fig. 1) prepared from the DNA homology values by average linkage clustering showed two distinct groups with only 2% DNA homology. *Methanosarcina* sp. strain TM-1, *Methanosarcina acetivorans*, and *Methanosarcina mazei* each had less than 30% homology with all of the other strains tested. *Methanococcoides methylutens* and *Methanobrevibacter tindarius* had no significant DNA homology either with one another or with the other strains tested. These results indicated that the seven strains tested could be separated into six species (9). Inclusion of strain 227 in the species *Methanosarcina barkeri* based on a DNA homology value of 96% is consistent with the conclusion of Balch et al. (1), who used rRNA oligonucleotide cataloging. All of the other strains showed levels of DNA homology with each other that were well below 60%, which is the accepted value for division at the genospecies level (9).

Cross-hybridization values of rRNAs obtained by the competition method from the seven strains were determined with ¹²⁵I-labeled rRNAs from six reference strains (Table 2). The values for *Bacteroides fragilis* VPI 2553 (parent strain of strain ATCC 25285) and *Bacteroides uniformis* VPI 0061 (parent strain of strain ATCC 8492) rRNAs, which were

TABLE 3. $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ values of DNA-rRNA thermal stability profiles

Strain	$\Delta T_{m(e)}$ (°C) with reference RNA from strain:					
	MS	227	TM-1	C2A	TMA-10	T3
MS	68.0 ^a	0	5.3	3.8	12.9	8.0
227	0	68.0	5.0	3.8	12.7	9.0
TM-1	3.9	4.0	70.5	4.5	12.4	8.5
C2A	2.4	2.1	4.2	68.6	12.3	8.1
S-6	2.9	2.9	4.2	3.4	12.2	8.2
TMA-10	9.9	10.8	9.7	12.3	70.6	6.0
Tindari 3	9.7	10.0	9.7	10.9	7.2	64.6

^a Homologous values are reported as midpoint temperatures.

FIG. 2. Relationship of rRNA homology values to $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ values. Data from Tables 2 and 3.

TABLE 4. Phenotypic characteristics of the methylotrophic methane-producing bacteria^a

Strain	Growth with: ^b		Optimum growth temp (°C)	Cell wall composition	C ₂₀ phytanyl glycerol ethers	Flagella or fimbriae
	H ₂ -CO ₂	Acetate				
MS	+	+	35	H ^d	+	—
227	+	+	35	H	ND ^e	—
TM-1	+/-	+	50	H	ND	—
C2A	—	+	40	P	ND	+
S-6	+/-	+	40	H	ND	—
TMA-10	—	—	35	P	+	+
Tindari 3	—	—	25	P	ND	+

^a Data obtained from references 1, 15, 16, 18, 25a, 26, and 35 and from S. H. Zinder (personal communication).

^b In addition to methanol and methylated amines.

^c +, Positive; —, negative; +/-, H₂-CO₂ used slowly.

^d H, Heteropolysaccharide; P, protein.

^e ND, Not determined.

included as heterologous controls, were below 12%. Thermal stability results are reported as midpoint temperatures and differences in midpoint temperatures [$\Delta T_{m(e)}$] (Table 3). The rRNA homology values obtained by the competition technique were compared with the $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ values (correlation coefficient, 0.89) (Fig. 2). A similar correlation coefficient was observed for the two methods with the eubacteria (11). The oligonucleotide sequence similarity values (S_{AB}) of *Methanosarcina barkeri* strain MS relative to *Methanosarcina mazei* (S_{AB} , 0.8) (17) and *Methanococcoides methylutens* (S_{AB} , 0.52) (C. Woese, personal communication) are in agreement with the rRNA homology values (Table 2).

A dendrogram of the rRNA homology values from Table 2 is shown in Fig. 1. The results of rRNA hybridization experiments (Fig. 1) indicated that there were the following two distinct groups above the species level: group I, which included *Methanosarcina barkeri*, *Methanosarcina* sp. strain TM-1, *Methanosarcina acetivorans*, and *Methanosarcina mazei*, and group II, which included *Methanobolus tindarius* and *Methanococcoides methylutens*. Phenotypic characteristics of the strains are compared in Table 4. Although group I varied with respect to the ability to use H₂-CO₂, all species in this group used acetate as a substrate. The inability of group II species to use acetate appeared to be the only phenotypic characteristic that divides the two groups. The apparent morphologies do not support the division since morphovar III was expressed by both groups. In addition, a methylotrophic isolate that is unable to utilize acetate and expresses morphovars I and III and communal cysts has been described (34). Other phenotypic characteristics cannot be used to divide the two groups (Table 4).

An apparent anomaly is the presence of species with different cell wall types within the genus *Methanosarcina* (Table 4). All of the freshwater strains of *Methanosarcina* studied have rigid, thick cell walls composed of galactosamine, glucose, mannose, and glucuronic acid or galacturonic acid in varying proportions (12). The marine species, *Methanosarcina acetivorans*, has thin cell walls (10 nm) composed of protein subunits (25a). Cell wall structure is a conserved characteristic which has coincided with phylogenetic divisions at the genus level in previous studies of the archaeobacteria (1, 12). Despite the gross morphological and chemical differences between heteropolysaccharide and protein cell walls, the results of immunological fingerprinting (3, 4) indicated that there are common antigenic determinants between *Methanosarcina acetivorans* and species with he-

teropolysaccharide cell walls (E. Conway de Macario, personal communication). Likewise, *Methanobolus tindarius* and *Methanococcoides methylutens*, which also have protein cell walls, reacted as strongly or more strongly with heteropolysaccharide cell wall species than with each other. One possible explanation for these results is that all members of group I possess a protein cell wall with a heteropolysaccharide outer layer. Zeikus and Bowen (32) described the cell wall of *Methanosarcina barkeri* strain MS in electron micrographs as having laminated amorphous outer layers which were attached to an electron-dense layer adjacent to the cell membrane. A 10-nm electron-dense inner layer, which is the thickness of a protein cell wall (15, 25a, 26), was also reported by Zhilina (33) in thin-section electron micrographs of *Methanosarcina* sp. Although previous investigators observed no or only trace amounts of amino acids in heteropolysaccharide cell wall preparations (12, 13; S. F. Hurst, R. W. Robinson, and A. S. Bleiweis, Abstr. Annu. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 1982, J10, p. 92), treatment of the cell wall preparations included incubation with trypsin or sodium dodecyl sulfate, which would have completely solubilized a protein cell wall layer (14). Acid-hydrolyzed cell wall preparations contained a significant proportion of amino acids (17.32% of the total volatile mass) and an amino acid distribution (Table 5) similar to that in protein cell walls (14, 20, 25a, 26, 28, 30). Cysteine, aspartic acid, and glutamic acid were predominant. The ash content of the preparations was 14.4% of the total dry weight; 17.0% of the volatile fraction was recovered as galactosamine, and 0.54% was recovered as glucosamine. The high proportion of cysteine in the cell wall preparation of *Methanosarcina barkeri* (Table 5) has not been reported previously in protein cell walls. The volatile mass not accounted for (65%) may contain uronic acids and neutral sugars (S. F. Hurst and A. S. Bleiweis, Abstr. Annu. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 1984, I81, p. 135), as well as residual water. These results suggest that methanogenic bacteria with heteropolysaccharide cell walls also

TABLE 5. Amino acid and amino sugar content of a hydrolyzed cell wall preparation of *Methanosarcina barkeri* strain 227

Compound	Concn (μmol/mg, dry wt)	Molar ratio ^a
Amino acids		
Cys	181.2	3.08
Asp	124.3	2.11
Glu	101.2	1.72
Thr	100.8	1.71
Ser	86.7	1.47
Gly	77.8	1.32
Ala	76.8	1.30
Leu	59.3	1.01
His	58.9	1.00
Val	52.3	0.89
Lys	45.7	0.77
Ile	41.4	0.71
Pro	39.4	0.67
Met	21.3	0.36
Tyr	19.1	0.32
Phe	18.9	0.32
Arg	17.0	0.29
Trp	ND ^b	ND
Amino sugars		
Galactosamine	409.8	ND
Glucosamine	25.9	ND

^a Based on a value of 1.00 for histidine.

^b ND, Not determined.

FIG. 3. Dendrograms of the relationships among the methanogenic bacteria based on $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ values from this study (A) and from the study of Tu et al. (29) (B). Data from both studies were normalized by using a value of 20°C for the maximum heterologous $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ value. The phylogenetic relationships are expressed as fractional $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ values ranging from 0.0 to 1.0. Fractional $\Delta T_{m(e)} = 20 - \Delta T_{m(e)}/20$. The standard deviations from the means are indicated by dotted lines.

have an inner protein cell wall layer. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that all other known species in the order *Methanomicrobiales* have protein cell walls (12). Since no single-step mutations are known which lead to an altered cell wall type (24), *Methanosarcina acetivorans* may have lost the ability to produce the heteropolysaccharide outer layer of its cell wall. Alternatively, nonmarine species may have developed the heteropolysaccharide for osmotic protection.

As a result of these observations we propose that the family *Methanosarcinaceae* be emended to include the species *Methanosarcina acetivorans*, *Methanobacterium tindarius*, and *Methanococcoides methylutens*, as described below.

Family II, *Methanosarcinaceae*. *Methanosarcinaceae* Balch and Wolfe 1979 (emend.) (Me.tha.no.sar.cin.a'ce.ae. M.L. fem. n. *Methanosarcina* type genus of the family; -aceae ending to denote family; M.L. fem. pl. n. *Methanosarcinaceae* the *Methanosarcina* family.) Spherical or pleomorphic cells 1.0 to 2.5 μ m in diameter. Members of this family express one or more of the following three cellular arrangements: morphovar I is an aggregation of cocci, up to 100 μ m in diameter; morphovar II is a smaller aggregation of cells, up to 30 μ m in diameter; and morphovar III cells are arranged singly. Some species also form communal cysts of several single cells within a common envelope. Cell division planes are irregular. A species may have a protein cell wall 10 nm thick which has a negative Gram reaction or a cell wall layer composed of heteropolysaccharide that stains gram

positive or gram variable. Cells are nonmotile mesophiles to thermophiles. Energy for growth comes from the oxidation of H_2 with the reduction of CO_2 to methane or from metabolism of methanol, methylated amines (methylamine, dimethylamine, trimethylamine, or ethyldimethylamine), or acetate with formation of methane and CO_2 as end products; ammonia is produced from amine degradation. Gas-vacuolated forms have been reported.

We propose that rRNA homology groups I and II be divided at the genus level based on a rRNA homology level of 60% (Table 1) and an S_{AB} value of 0.52 between *Methanosarcina barkeri* strain MS and *Methanococcoides methylutens* (Woese, personal communication). Although these values are higher than those most used to divide genera of eubacteria (6, 24), they fall within the genus-species division value for the methanogenic bacteria proposed by Balch et al. (1). This division is further supported by the DNA-rRNA hybridization data of Tu et al. (29). The midpoint temperatures of the thermal melting curves for DNA-rRNA hybrids from that study were normalized by using a value of 20°C as the maximum heterologous $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ value (11). The phylogenetic relationships are expressed as the fractional $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ values between heterologous (0.0) and homologous (1.0) strains in Fig. 3. The fractional $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ value between group I and group II was lower than most values between other genera of methane-producing bacteria. In addition, species in group I could use acetate, but those in group II could not. All species in group I, which includes *Methanosarcina*

TABLE 6. Phenotypic differences between *Methanobacterium tindarius* strain Tindari 3 and *Methanococcoides methylutens* strain TMA-10^a

Species	Source	Flagellum or fimbriae	Internal membranes	Uniform inclusions	Vitamins required	Maximum growth temp (°C)	Optimum growth temp (°C)
<i>Methanobacterium tindarius</i>	Marsh pond	+	+	+	—	45	25
<i>Methanococcoides methylutens</i>	Marine canyon	+	—	—	+	35	35

^a Data obtained from references 15 and 26.

barkeri (18), *Methanosarcina acetivorans* (25a), *Methanosarcina mazei* (16), and *Methanosarcina* sp. strain TM-1 (35), are in the genus *Methanosarcina* as described by Balch et al. (1).

The group II strains showed virtually no DNA homology (4%), which indicates that they are separate species. The different G+C values (Table 1) and phenotypic characteristics (Table 6) support this conclusion. The level of rRNA homology between *Methanobolus tindarius* and *Methanococcoides methylutens* was only 7% greater than the levels of rRNA homology between these two species and *Methanosarcina* (Fig. 1). In addition, when fractional $\Delta T_{m(e)}$ values obtained in this study are compared with values obtained by Tu et al. (29), the value between *Methanococcoides methylutens* and *Methanobolus tindarius* is lower than the values between other genera of the methanogenic bacteria (Fig. 3). Although *Methanobolus tindarius* (15) and *Methanococcoides methylutens* (26) are phenotypically similar (Table 6), results of this study suggest that they are phylogenetically distant. As more methylotrophic strains become available and their phylogenies are determined, the current division may become less distinct, and merging of these genera may be warranted. The maintenance of separate genera may also be impractical for identification purposes if more distinguishing phenotypic characteristics are not found in other strains. The genera *Methanobolus* and *Methanococcoides* have been described elsewhere (15, 26).

Despite the morphological diversity among the methylotrophic methane-producing bacteria, these organisms appear to be closely related at the level of rRNA homology. As the phylogenetic relationships of more strains are determined, the generic division observed in this study may become more or less pronounced, warranting changes in the proposed divisions. The phylogenetic divisions obtained with DNA-rRNA and DNA-DNA hybridization techniques showed good agreement with phenotypic characteristics and complemented the oligonucleotide sequencing data of Balch et al. (1) for the methanogenic bacteria.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. P. Bryant, R. A. Mah, and K. O. Stetter for the strains used in this study. We thank E. Conway de Macario, New York State Department of Health, for the immunological fingerprinting of *Methanosarcina acetivorans* and *Methanococcoides methylutens*. We thank D. R. Bevens and B. H. Combs, Department of Biochemistry and Nutrition, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, for the amino acid analyses. We thank C. R. Woese, University of Illinois, for the 16S rRNA oligonucleotide catalog of *Methanococcoides methylutens*. We thank W. E. C. Moore and E. P. Cato for critical review of the manuscript. We are especially grateful to S. H. Zinder, Cornell University, and R. A. Mah, University of California at Los Angeles, for helpful discussions.

This investigation was supported by grant 5092-260-0710 from the Gas Research Institute, Basic Research, and by grant DEB 8001191 from the National Science Foundation.

LITERATURE CITED

- Balch, W. E., G. E. Fox, L. J. Magrum, C. R. Woese, and R. S. Wolfe. 1979. Methanogens: reevaluation of a unique biological group. *Micribiol. Rev.* **43**:260-296.
- Bishop, D. H. L., J. R. Claybrook, and S. Spiegelman. 1967. Electrophoretic separation of viral nucleic acids on polyacrylamide gels. *J. Mol. Biol.* **26**:373-387.
- Conway de Macario, E., A. J. L. Macario, M. C. Magariños, H. König, and O. Kandler. 1983. Dissecting the antigenic mosaic of the archaeobacterium *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* by monoclonal antibodies of defined molecular specificity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **80**:6346-6350.
- Conway de Macario, E., A. J. L. Macario, and M. J. Wolin. 1982. Specific antisera and immunological procedures for characterization of methanogenic bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **149**:320-328.
- Douglas, C., F. Achatz, and A. Böck. 1980. Electrophoretic characterization of ribosomal proteins from methanogenic bacteria. *Zentralbl. Bakteriell. Parasitenkd. Infektionskr. Hyg. Abt. 1 Orig. Reihe C* **1**:1-11.
- Fox, G. E., E. Stackebrandt, R. B. Hespell, J. Gibson, J. Maniloff, T. A. Dyer, R. S. Wolfe, W. E. Balch, R. S. Tanner, L. J. Magrum, L. B. Zablen, R. Blakemore, R. Gupta, L. Bonen, B. J. Lewis, D. A. Stahl, K. R. Luehrs, K. N. Chen, and C. R. Woese. 1980. The phylogeny of prokaryotes. *Science* **209**:457-463.
- Hungate, R. E. 1969. A roll tube method for cultivation of strict anaerobes, p. 117-132. In J. R. Norris and D. W. Ribbons (ed.), *Methods in microbiology*, vol. 3B. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- Huser, B. A., K. Wuhrmann, and A. J. B. Zehnder. 1982. *Methanohalobium soehngenii* gen. nov. sp. nov., a new acetotrophic non-hydrogen-oxidizing methane bacterium. *Arch. Microbiol.* **132**:1-9.
- Johnson, J. L. 1973. The use of nucleic acid homologies in the taxonomy of anaerobic bacteria. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **23**:308-315.
- Johnson, J. L. 1981. Genetic characterization, p. 450-472. In P. Gerhardt (ed.), *Manual of methods for general bacteriology*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Johnson, J. L., and B. Harich. 1983. Comparisons of procedures for determining ribosomal ribonucleic acid similarities. *Curr. Microbiol.* **9**:111-120.
- Kandler, O. 1982. Cell wall structures and their phylogenetic implications. *Zentralbl. Bakteriell. Parasitenkd. Infektionskr. Hyg. Abt. 1 Orig. Reihe C* **3**:149-160.
- Kandler, O., and H. Hippe. 1977. Lack of Peptidoglycan in the cell walls of *Methanosarcina barkeri*. *Arch. Microbiol.* **113**:57-60.
- Kandler, O., and H. König. 1978. Chemical composition of the peptidoglycan-free cell walls of methanogenic bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.* **118**:141-152.
- König, H., and K. O. Stetter. 1982. Isolation and characterization of *Methanobolus tindarius* sp. nov., a coccoid methanogen growing only on methanol and methylamines. *Zentralbl. Bakteriell. Parasitenkd. Infektionskr. Hyg. Abt. 1 Orig. Reihe C* **3**:478-490.
- Mah, R. A. 1980. Isolation and characterization of *Methanococcus mazei*. *Curr. Microbiol.* **3**:321-326.
- Mah, R. A., and D. A. Kuhn. 1984. Transfer of the type species of the genus *Methanococcus* to the genus *Methanosarcina*, naming it *Methanosarcina mazei* (Barker 1936) comb. nov. et. emend. and conservation of the genus *Methanococcus* (Approved Lists 1980) with *Methanococcus vannielii* (Approved Lists 1980) as the type species. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **34**:263-265.
- Mah, R. A., M. R. Smith, and L. Baresi. 1978. Studies on an acetate-fermenting strain of *Methanosarcina*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **35**:1174-1184.
- Marmur, J. 1961. A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from micro-organisms. *J. Mol. Biol.* **3**:208-218.
- Marshall, C. L., A. J. Wicken, and A. D. Brown. 1969. The outer layer of the cell envelope of *Halobacterium halobium*. *Can. J. Biochem.* **47**:71-74.
- Rigby, P. W. J., M. Dieckmann, C. Rhodes, and P. Berg. 1977. Labeling deoxyribonucleic acid to high specific activity in vitro by nick translation with DNA polymerase I. *J. Mol. Biol.* **113**:237-251.
- Schauer, N. L., and J. G. Ferry. 1980. Metabolism of formate in *Methanobacterium formicicum*. *J. Bacteriol.* **142**:800-807.
- Schleifer, K. H., and O. Kandler. 1972. Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic implications. *Bacteriol. Rev.* **36**:407-477.
- Schleifer, K. H., and E. Stackebrandt. 1983. Molecular system-

- atics of prokaryotes. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **37**:143–187.
25. Selin, Y. M., B. Harich, and J. L. Johnson. 1983. Preparation of labeled nucleic acids (nick translation and iodination) for DNA homology and rRNA hybridization experiments. *Curr. Microbiol.* **8**:127–132.
- 25a. Sowers, K. R., S. F. Baron, and J. G. Ferry. 1984. *Methanosarcina acetivorans* sp. nov., an acetotrophic methane-producing bacterium isolated from marine sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **47**:971–978.
26. Sowers, K. R., and J. G. Ferry. 1983. Isolation and characterization of a methylotrophic marine methanogen, *Methanococcoides methylutens* gen. nov., sp. nov. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **45**:684–690.
27. Stackebrandt, E., E. Seewaldt, W. Ludwig, K.-H. Schleifer, and B. A. Huser. 1982. The phylogenetic position of *Methanotherix soehngenii*. Elucidation by a modified technique of sequencing oligonucleotides from 16S RNA. *Zentralbl. Bakteriol. Parasitenkd. Infektionskr. Hyg. Abt. 1 Orig. Reihe C* **3**:90–100.
28. Steensland, H., and H. Larsen. 1969. A study of the cell envelope of the halobacteria. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **55**:325–336.
29. Tu, J., D. Prangishvilli, H. Huber, G. Wildgruber, W. Zillig, and K. O. Stetter. 1982. Taxonomic relations between archaeobacteria including 6 novel genera examined by cross hybridization of DNAs and 16S rRNAs. *J. Mol. Evol.* **18**:109–114.
30. Weiss, R. L. 1974. Subunit cell wall of *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*. *J. Bacteriol.* **118**:275–284.
31. Wolin, E. A., M. J. Wolin, and R. S. Wolfe. 1963. Formation of methane by bacterial extracts. *J. Biol. Chem.* **238**:2882–2886.
32. Zeikus, J. G., and V. G. Bowen. 1975. Comparative ultrastructure of methanogenic bacteria. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **21**:121–129.
33. Zhilina, T. N. 1971. The fine structure of *Methanosarcina*. *Microbiology (USSR)* **40**:674–680.
34. Zhilina, T. N. 1983. A new obligate halophilic methane-producing bacterium. *Microbiology (USSR)* **52**:375–382.
35. Zinder, S. H., and R. A. Mah. 1979. Isolation and characterization of a thermophilic strains of *Methanosarcina* unable to use H₂-CO₂ for methanogenesis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **38**:996–1008.