Transfer of *Rhizobium loti*, *Rhizobium huakuii*, *Rhizobium ciceri*, *Rhizobium mediterraneum*, and *Rhizobium tianshanense* to *Mesorhizobium* gen. nov.

B. D. W. JARVIS,^{1*} P. VAN BERKUM,² W. X. CHEN,³ S. M. NOUR,⁴
M. P. FERNANDEZ,⁴ J. C. CLEYET-MAREL,⁵ AND M. GILLIS⁶

Department of Microbiology and Genetics, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand¹; BARC-West, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, Maryland 20705²; Department of Microbiology, College of Biology, Beijing Agricultural University, Beijing, People's Republic of China³; Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR 5557, Université Lyon 1, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex,⁴ and Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Laboratoire de la Recherche sur les Symbiotes des Racines, 34060 Montpellier,⁵ France; and Laboratorium voor Microbiologie, Universiteit Gent, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium⁶

Reasons are advanced for removal of *Rhizobium ciceri*, *Rhizobium huakuii*, *Rhizobium loti*, *Rhizobium mediterraneum*, and *Rhizobium tianshanense* from the genus *Rhizobium* and for establishment of *Mesorhizobium* gen. nov. for these species. A description of the genus *Mesorhizobium* and amended descriptions of *Mesorhizobium ciceri*, *Mesorhizobium huakuii*, *Mesorhizobium loti*, *Mesorhizobium mediterraneum*, and *Mesorhizobium tianshanense* are provided.

In a review of root nodule symbioses by Vincent (22), the fast-growing rhizobia associated with *Lotus corniculatus* and *Lupinus densiflorus* were recognized as a separate group which merited a specific designation, and the name *Rhizobium loti* was tentatively proposed for it. Approval for the designation of *R. loti* as a new species was also voiced at a roundtable discussion on *Rhizobium* taxonomy associated with the 4th International Congress on Nitrogen Fixation at Canberra, Australia, in 1980. The subsequent publication of the new species in 1982 (9) enabled it to be included in a revised taxonomy of the *Rhizobiaceae* presented by Jordan in *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology* (12).

At that time *R. loti* was distinguished from other fast-growing rhizobia on the basis of flagellation (1), esterase patterns (14), response to isoflavonoids (18), plant nodulation (11, 12), internal antigens (23), electrophoresis of soluble cellular proteins (19, 20), and DNA relatedness (4, 8). More recently, cellular fatty acid analysis was used to reveal differences, useful for identification purposes, between strains of *R. loti* and strains of the genus *Agrobacterium* and other *Rhizobium* or *Sinorhizobium* species (10). All of these methods differentiate species but give little indication of the relationships between species and genera.

An early indication of the relationship among *R. loti*, other *Rhizobium* species, and *Sinorhizobium* and *Agrobacterium* species was obtained by studying the intergeneric similarities of rRNA cistrons (7). The results of this analysis indicated that *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, *Rhizobium galegae*, *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, *Sinorhizobium fredii*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (biovar 1), and *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* (biovar 2) were all more closely related to one another than they were to *R. loti*. Subsequent analyses of 16S rRNA gene sequences of species in these genera have confirmed, refined, and extended this observation (21, 24, 25); the levels of 16S ribosomal DNA sequence similarity between *R. loti* and other *Rhizobium* and

Agrobacterium species are around 93.5%. Consequently, there has been considerable support for the establishment of a separate genus for *R. loti* and related root nodule bacteria (5, 13, 27).

The original description of *R. loti* (9) clearly indicated that fast-growing *Lotus* rhizobia formed part of an extensive plant cross-inoculation group involving plant species in the genera *Lupinus*, *Ornithopus*, *Lotus*, *Anthyllis*, *Caragena*, *Astragalus*, *Ononis*, *Genista*, and *Mimosa*. Jarvis et al. also indicated that the fast-growing *Lotus* rhizobia were related to rhizobia obtained from several plant species in these genera and also to rhizobia obtained from *Cicer arietinum* and *Leucaena leucocephala*. This finding was based on the work of Crow et al. (4), who found a group of rhizobia, identified as "group 4," which exhibited relatively high mean levels of DNA relatedness (50 to 51%) with two fast-growing *Lotus* rhizobia used as reference strains but little relatedness (levels of DNA relatedness, 7 to 10%) with reference strains derived from clover, bean, lucerne, or crown vetch (*Coronilla varia*). The group was genetically diverse since the levels of DNA relatedness between individual strains and the reference strains ranged from 36 to 88%. These values suggested that group 4 probably included other species in addition to *R. loti*.

Since that time several other species of bacteria related to *R. loti* have been described. These species include *Rhizobium huakuii* from *Astragalus sinicus* (3), *Rhizobium ciceri* from *Cicer arietinum* (chickpea) (17), *Rhizobium mediterraneum* from chickpea (16), and *Rhizobium tianshanense* from an arid saline environment in northern People's Republic of China (2). In addition, De Lajudie et al. (5) identified "cluster U" as a group of strains from *Acacia* sp., Brazilian legumes, and *Lotus* sp. which is related to *R. loti* but exhibits considerable genetic heterogeneity. At least three new species can be recognized within cluster U. At present, these species and related strains can be said to constitute the "*R. loti* group."

The use of the genus *Rhizobium* for all of the fast-growing rhizobia, including *R. leguminosarum*, *Rhizobium etli*, *Rhizobium tropici* types A and B, *Rhizobium meliloti*, *Rhizobium fredii*, *R. galegae*, and the *R. loti* group defined above, has resulted in the formation of a polyphyletic genus which ob-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Rivendell, 143 Old West Road, RD4, Palmerston North, New Zealand. Fax: 64 6 357 3089. E-mail: jarvis@manawatu.gen.nz.

TABLE 1. Some characteristics of *Mesorhizobium* species^a

Characteristic	<i>M. ciceri</i>	<i>M. huakuii</i>	<i>M. loti</i>	<i>M. mediterraneum</i>	<i>M. tianshanense</i>
Morphological characteristics					
Flagellation		One polar or subpolar flagellum	One polar or subpolar flagellum		Peritrichous flagella
Cell shape	Rods	Rods	Rods	Rods	Rods
Colony characteristics					
Opacity	Opaque	Semitranslucent	Opaque	Opaque	Opaque
Diam (mm)	2-4	2-4	>1	2	1-2
Incubation time at 28°C (days)	3-5	5-6	7	4-5	5-7
Color	Colorless	Colorless	Colorless	Colorless	Creamy
Cultural characteristics					
Maximum temp (°C)	40	37-39	<39-40	40	ND ^b
Maximum NaCl concn for growth (% wt/vol)	2.0	ND	<2.0	2.0	1.0
pH range for growth	5.0-10.0	5.0-9.5	4.0, <10.0	>5.0, <10.0	ND
G+C content (mol%)	63-64	59-64	59-64	63-64	59-63
Substrates used as sole carbon sources					
D- and L-Arabinose	+	+	+	+	d
D-Fructose	+	+	+	+	d
D- and L-Fucose	+	—	+	+	+
Fumarate	+	+	+	+	—
Inositol	+	—	+	+	—
D- and L-Malate	+	+	+	+	—
Maltose	+	+	+	+	d
D-Raffinose	—	+	d	—	d
Sucrose	+	+	+	+	d

^a For the most part, the table includes only characteristics for which data are available for all five species. The only exception is flagellation; flagellation data are available for only three species. All species are gram-negative, non-spore-forming, rod-shaped bacteria which form circular, convex colonies on solid media under aerobic conditions and utilize D-glucose and rhamnose as sole carbon sources.

^b ND, not determined.

^c +, used by all strains; d, used by some strains; —, not used by any strain.

scures the phenetic and phylogenetic differences among these species. The recent transfer of two species, *R. meliloti* and *R. fredii*, to the new genus *Sinorhizobium* (5) and the creation of two new species, *Sinorhizobium saheli* and *Sinorhizobium teranga*, have contributed to the resolution of this problem, but the remaining *Rhizobium* species still constitute a polyphyletic group. Further subdivision of the genus *Rhizobium* was recommended at a roundtable discussion on *Rhizobium* taxonomy associated with the 10th International Congress on Nitrogen Fixation at St. Petersburg, Russia, in 1995 (13), and a proposal was presented at the 15th North American Conference on Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation (26). Participants at both of these meetings recognized that, based on 16S rRNA sequence data, the species included in the *R. loti* group are monophyletic but are less closely related to other *Rhizobium* species (*R. leguminosarum*, *R. tropici* types A and B, and *R. galegae*) than are species already classified in related genera, such as the genera *Sinorhizobium* and *Agrobacterium*. The *R. loti* group is also phenetically and phylogenetically distinct from the other genera of nitrogen-fixing plant symbionts, the genera *Azorhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium*. The purpose of this paper is to present the view that the species in the *R. loti* group should be reclassified in a new genus, the genus *Mesorhizobium* (5, 13, 27).

The name *Mesorhizobium* is thought to have been originally proposed by W. X. Chen and coworkers. It was used by Peter Young at the International Symposium on Diversity and Taxonomy of Rhizobia at Wuhan, People's Republic of China, in 1994 for a phylogenetic group corresponding to the *R. loti* group defined above. Subsequently, Chen et al. (2) used the term "meso-growing rhizobia" when they described a new species, *R. tianshanense*, that is closely related to *R. loti* and *R. huakuii*. Thus, the name *Mesorhizobium* has been used to de-

note both phylogenetic position and growth rate. The former meaning was emphasized at the workshop on *Rhizobium* taxonomy associated with the 10th International Congress on Nitrogen Fixation in St. Petersburg, Russia, (13), where it was used to indicate the intermediate position of the *R. loti* group between the *Agrobacterium-Rhizobium-Sinorhizobium* complex and the genera *Azorhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* in the alpha subdivision of the *Proteobacteria*. The meaning referring to the growth rate was emphasized by Young (26) at the 15th North American Conference on Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation.

Characteristics of *Mesorhizobium* species described by Chen et al. (2, 3), De Lajudie et al. (5), Jarvis et al. (9), and Nour et al. (16, 17) are shown in Table 1. For the most part Table 1 shows only characteristics available for all five species considered. An exception was made for flagellation data, which were available for only three species, because of the differences among *Mesorhizobium huakuii*, *Mesorhizobium loti*, and *Mesorhizobium tianshanense*. Additional information is available in the references mentioned above. Data for *R. loti* recorded by Nour et al. (16) and De Lajudie et al. (5) are included under this species. However, it should be recognized that the additional data are data only for the type strain (16) or the type strain and one other strain (5).

De Lajudie et al. (5) described a group of strains designated cluster U, but since different phenotypic and genotypic groups were found among these bacteria, we concluded that although cluster U strains belong in the new genus *Mesorhizobium*, further study will be required before species can be described.

An examination of the comparative data available for the putative *Mesorhizobium* species revealed that *R. ciceri*, *R. huakuii*, *R. loti*, and *R. mediterraneum* have been compared previously by a number of different methods, including numerical taxonomy of phenotypic characteristics (3, 15, 16), multilocus

Description of *Mesorhizobium huakuii* (Chen et al. 1991) comb. nov. The phenotypic description of *Mesorhizobium huakuii* is the same as that given by Chen et al. (3). In addition, this species can be differentiated from other *Mesorhizobium* species by the results of comparative fatty acid analysis, DNA-DNA hybridization, and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.

Description of *Mesorhizobium loti* (Jarvis et al. 1982) comb. nov. The phenotypic description of *Mesorhizobium loti* is the same as that given by Jarvis et al. (9) and supplemented by Nour et al. (16) and De Lajudie et al. (5). In addition, this species can be differentiated from other *Mesorhizobium* species by the results of comparative fatty acid analysis, DNA-DNA hybridization, and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.

Description of *Mesorhizobium mediterraneum* (Nour et al. 1995) comb. nov. The phenotypic description of *Mesorhizobium mediterraneum* is the same as that given by Nour et al. (16). In addition, this species can be differentiated from other *Mesorhizobium* species by the results of comparative fatty acid analysis, DNA-DNA hybridization, and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.

Description of *Mesorhizobium tianshanense* (Chen et al. 1995) comb. nov. The phenotypic description of *Mesorhizobium tianshanense* is the same as that given by Chen et al. (2). In addition, this species can be differentiated from other *Mesorhizobium* species by the results of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis.

We thank the following persons for helpful discussions: Kristina Lindström, Esperanza Martínez-Romero, Clive Ronson, Scott Tighe, and Peter Young.

REFERENCES

1. Abdel-Ghaffar, A. S., and H. L. Jenson. 1966. The rhizobia of *Lupinus densiflorus* Benth., with some remarks on the classification of root nodule bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.* **54**:393–405.
2. Chen, W., E. Wang, S. Wang, Y. Li, X. Chen, and Y. Li. 1995. Characteristics of *Rhizobium tianshanense* sp. nov., a moderately and slowly growing root nodule bacterium isolated from an arid saline environment in Xinjiang, People's Republic of China. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **45**:153–159.
3. Chen, W. X., G. S. Li, Y. L. Qi, E. T. Wang, H. L. Yuan, and J. L. Li. 1991. *Rhizobium huakuii* sp. nov. isolated from the root nodules of *Astragalus sinicus*. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **41**:275–280.
4. Crow, V. L., B. D. W. Jarvis, and R. M. Greenwood. 1981. Deoxyribonucleic acid homologies among acid-producing strains of *Rhizobium*. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **31**:152–172.
5. De Lajudie, P., A. Willems, B. Pot, D. Dewettinck, G. Maestrojuan, M. Neyra, M. D. Collins, B. Dreyfus, K. Kersters and M. Gillis. 1994. Polyphasic taxonomy of rhizobia: emendation of the genus *Sinorhizobium* and description of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* comb. nov., *Sinorhizobium saheli* sp. nov., and *Sinorhizobium teranga* sp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **44**:715–733.
6. Felsenstein, J. 1993. PHYLIP (phylogenetic inference package), version 3.5c. Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle.
7. Jarvis, B. D. W., M. Gillis, and J. De Ley. 1986. Intra- and intergeneric similarities between the ribosomal ribonucleic acid cistrons of *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* species and some related bacteria. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **36**:129–138.
8. Jarvis, B. D. W., T. S. MacLean, I. G. C. Robinson, and G. R. Fanning. 1977. Phenetic similarity and DNA base sequence homology of root nodule bacteria from New Zealand native legumes and *Rhizobium* strains from agricultural plants. *N. Z. J. Agric. Res.* **20**:235–248.
9. Jarvis, B. D. W., C. E. Pankhurst, and J. J. Patel. 1982. *Rhizobium loti*, a new species of legume root nodule bacteria. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **32**:378–380.
10. Jarvis, B. D. W., S. Sivakumaran, S. W. Tighe, and M. Gillis. 1996. Identification of *Agrobacterium* and *Rhizobium* species based on cellular fatty acid composition. *Plant Soil* **184**:143–158.
11. Jensen, H.-L. 1963. Relations de la plante hôte avec les *Rhizobium* du groupe *Lotus-Anthyllis*. *Ann. Inst. Pasteur (Paris)* **105**:232–236.
12. Jordan, D. C. 1984. *Rhizobiaceae* Conn 1938, 321^{AL}, p. 234–256. In N. R. Krieg and J. G. Holt (ed.), *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology*, vol. 1. The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, Md.
13. Lindström, K., P. van Berkum, M. Gillis, E. Martinez, N. Novikova, and B. Jarvis. 1995. Report of the round table on *Rhizobium* taxonomy, p. 807–810. In I. A. Tikhonovich, N. A. Provorov, V. I. Romanov, and W. E. Newton (ed.), *Nitrogen fixation: fundamentals and applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
14. Murphy, P. M., and C. L. Masterson. 1970. Determination of multiple forms of esterases in *Rhizobium* by paper electrophoresis. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **61**:121–129.
15. Nour, S. M., J.-C. Cleyet-Marel, D. Beck, A. Effosse, and M. P. Fernandez. 1994. Genotypic and phenotypic diversity of *Rhizobium* isolated from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Can. J. Microbiol.* **40**:345–354.
16. Nour, S. M., J.-C. Cleyet-Marel, P. Normand, and M. P. Fernandez. 1995. Genomic heterogeneity of strains nodulating chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and description of *Rhizobium mediterraneum* sp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **45**:640–648.
17. Nour, S. M., M. P. Fernandez, P. Normand, and J.-C. Cleyet-Marel. 1994. *Rhizobium ciceri* sp. nov. consisting of strains that nodulate chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **44**:511–522.
18. Pankhurst, C. E., and D. R. Biggs. 1980. Sensitivity of *Rhizobium* to selected isoflavonoids. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **26**:542–545.
19. Peterson, P. L., R. M. Greenwood, G. B. Belling, and N. O. Bathurst. 1971. The electrophoretic movement of soluble proteins and the production of unusual amino acids in *Rhizobium* isolates as taxonomic criteria. *Plant Soil* **1971**(Spec. Vol.):111–114.
20. Roberts, G. P., W. T. Leps, L. E. Silver, and W. J. Brill. 1980. Use of two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis to identify and classify *Rhizobium* strains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **39**:414–422.
21. Sawada, H., H. Ieki, H. Oyaizu, and S. Matsumoto. 1993. Proposal for rejection of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and revised descriptions of *Agrobacterium radiobacter* and *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **43**:694–702.
- 21a. Tighe, S. W. Personal communication.
- 21b. van Berkum, P. Unpublished data.
22. Vincent, J. M. 1974. Root nodule symbioses with *Rhizobium*, p. 265–341. In A. Quispel (ed.), *The biology of nitrogen fixation*. Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, N.Y.
23. Vincent, J. M., and B. Humphrey. 1970. Taxonomically significant group antigens in *Rhizobium*. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **63**:379–382.
- 23a. Wang, E. T. Personal communication.
24. Willems, A., and M. D. Collins. 1993. Phylogenetic analysis of rhizobia and agrobacteria based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **43**:305–313.
25. Yanagi, M., and K. Yamasato. 1993. Phylogenetic analysis of the family *Rhizobiaceae* and related bacteria by sequencing of 16S rRNA gene using PCR and DNA sequencer. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **107**:115–120.
26. Young, J. P. W. 1996. Phylogeny and taxonomy of rhizobia. *Plant Soil* **186**:45–52.
27. Young, J. P. W., and K. E. Haukka. 1996. Diversity and phylogeny of rhizobia. *New Phytol.* **133**:87–94.