Affiliation of *Cochlosoma* to trichomonads confirmed by phylogenetic analysis of the small-subunit rRNA gene and a new family concept of the order Trichomonadida

Vladimír Hampl,¹ Martin Vrlík,¹ Ivan Cepicka,¹ Zdeněk Pecka,² Jaroslav Kulda¹ and Jan Tachezy¹

Correspondence

Vladimír Hampl

vlada@natur.cuni.cz

¹Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Vinicna 7, 128 44, Prague, Czech Republic

²Institute of Parasitology AVCR, Branišovská 31, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

The protozoan genus *Cochlosoma* includes parasitic intestinal flagellates of birds and mammals of uncertain taxonomic classification. The presence of an adhesive disc, superficially similar to that of *Giardia*, led to a proposal that *Cochlosoma* should be classified as diplomonads. Careful morphological and ultrastructural observations, however, revealed conspicuous homologies to trichomonads. We addressed the question of classification and phylogenetic affiliation of *Cochlosoma* using the methods of molecular phylogenetics. Analyses based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence of the species *Cochlosoma anatis* very robustly placed *Cochlosoma* in the clade of the parabasalid subfamilies Trichomonadinae, Trichomitopsiinae and Pentatrichomonoidinae of the order Trichomonadida (bootstraps > 94 %). The data did not provide robust support for any particular position of *Cochlosoma* within this clade because the sequence suffered from mutational saturation and produced a long branch. The most probable sister taxon of *Cochlosoma* is the genus *Pentatrichomonas*, because their relationship was supported specifically by the slowest-mutating, least-saturated positions as determined using the method slow-fast. Classification of the order Trichomonadida was revised to accommodate knowledge about its phylogeny – the family Cochlosomatidae and subfamilies Trichomitopsiinae and Pentatrichomonoidinae were abandoned, Trichomonadidae was amended and new families Tritrichomonadidae (formerly a subfamily) and Trichomitidae were proposed.

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Cochlosoma* was established by Kotlán (1923) on the basis of the description of the type species *Cochlosoma anatis* from the domestic duck. This species also occurs in wild ducks and geese, coots and domestic turkeys (Kotlán, 1923) and its pathogenicity for turkey poults has been reported (Campbell, 1945; Cooper *et al.*, 1995). Other species of *Cochlosoma* have been described from other birds, bats and shrews (Kulda & Nohýnková, 1978; Pecka, 1991; Watkins *et al.*, 1989). The most prominent structure of *Cochlosoma* is an adhesive disc that superficially resembles that of *Giardia*. This led to speculation that *Cochlosoma* may be related to *Giardia*. However, the presence of a parabasal

apparatus, pelta, costa and axostyle point to a relationship with trichomonads (Kulda & Nohýnková, 1978). Grassé (1952) placed these parasites provisionally into the order Retortamonadida, possibly because of some morphological similarity of the lateral groove of *Cochlosoma* to a cytostome. A detailed ultrastructural study (Pecka *et al.*, 1996) clearly supported the affiliation to trichomonads. Ultrastructural observations showed that, unlike *Giardia*, the adhesive disc of *Cochlosoma* is derived from the typical parabasal structures costa and pelta. Conspicuous similarities to parabasalids were found in the *Cochlosoma* mastigont that includes the 'privileged kinetosomes' of parabasalids with pertinent fibrillar appendages. Of the six flagella of *Cochlosoma*, four are anterior and two recurrent. One recurrent flagellum is associated with a lamelliform-type of undulating membrane. Based on the ultrastructural homologies, Pecka *et al.* (1996) transferred the family Cochlosomatidae Tyzzer 1930 into the phylum Parabasala, order Trichomonadida. No phylogenetic study using molecular data has been performed with *Cochlosoma*. McElroy *et al.* (2005) sequenced part of the

Published online ahead of print on 30 September 2005 as DOI 10.1099/ijs.0.63754-0.

Abbreviations: ML, maximum-likelihood; MP, maximum-parsimony.

The GenBank/EMBL/DDJB accession number for the 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Cochlosoma anatis* strain AC-2 is AY247745.

16S rRNA gene (466 bases) for diagnostic purposes, but did not proceed to a phylogenetic analysis. Here we present an analysis of the phylogenetic position of *Cochlosoma* using the major part of the 16S rRNA gene.

METHODS

Organism. *C. anatis* strain AC-2 was isolated from a teal (*Anas crecca*) in České Budějovice (Czech Republic) in 1989 and subsequently maintained by serial passages in ducklings over 1 year. Intestinal scrapings, from an experimentally infected duck, resuspended in PBS (pH 7.4) were eventually cryopreserved in the presence of 5% (v/v) DMSO and deposited in the culture collection of the Department of Parasitology, Charles University, Prague. To obtain material for DNA isolation, 200 µl of the cryopreserved sample was inoculated intracloacally into a laboratory-hatched, 7-day-old, protozoan-free duckling. The parasites were harvested 21 days post-inoculation from mucosal scrapings of the intestine of the euthanized duckling.

Gene amplification and sequencing. DNA was isolated from the suspension of trophozoites with the aid of a High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche Diagnostics) and the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using primers 16SL (5'-TACTTGGTTGATCC-TGCC-3') and 16SR (5'-GTTCCATTGCCATCCACT-3') (Tachezy *et al.*, 2002). The PCR mixture consisted of 1 mM Tris/HCl (pH 9), 0.01% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1 µM of each primer, 80 pmol DNA µl⁻¹ and 0.1 U *Taq* polymerase µl⁻¹. The PCR temperature profile consisted of initial denaturation at 92 °C for 4 min, 40 cycles at 92 °C for 30 s, 66 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 90 s and final polymerization at 72 °C for 15 min. The PCR product was purified from the gel and cloned into the vector pCR 2.1-TOPO using a TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). Two clones were sequenced using the vector and internal sequencing primers. Positions that differed in the sequences of the clones were determined by direct sequencing from the PCR products.

Tree construction. Alignments were constructed using the CLUSTAL_X program (Thompson *et al.*, 1997) and manually refined using BioEdit (Hall, 1999). The eukaryotic analysis (1006 positions after editing) included *Cochlosoma*, 8 parabasal and 33 other eukaryotic sequences, the broader parabasal analysis (1175 positions after editing) included *Cochlosoma* and 77 parabasal sequences, and the narrower analysis (1552 positions after editing) included *Cochlosoma*, 12 Trichomonadinae, 2 Trichomitopsiinae, 1 Pentatrichomonoidinae and 4 outgroup sequences. The number of positions in the narrower analysis was reduced in the course of slow-fast analysis (see below). All alignments are available upon request (vlada@natur.cuni.cz).

Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the maximum-likelihood (ML) method in PHYML (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003) (TrN+I+Γ or GTR+I+Γ as recommended by MODELTEST 3.06, parameters optimized by the software, 100 bootstrap replicates in the eukaryotic and the broad parabasal analyses and 1000 in the narrow parabasal analysis), the Bayesian method in MrBayes 3.0 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) (lset nst=6 rates=invgamma covarion=yes, temp 0.2, 4 simultaneous MCMC chains, 2 000 000 generations; trees from generations before the chain reached equilibrium were removed as the 'burn-in'), the maximum-parsimony (MP) method in PAUP 4.0 (Swofford, 1998) (hsearch start=stepwise addseq=random nrep=10, 1000 bootstrap replicates) and the neighbour-joining method in PAUP 4.0 (LogDet distances, 1000 bootstrap replicates).

Slow-fast analysis. The slow-fast method (Brinkmann & Philippe, 1999) was used to estimate and to lower the effect of long-branch attraction artefact by sequential removal of positions with high mutational rates that are supposedly responsible for stochastic

information noise. In this method, the positions in the alignment were divided into four classes according to their increasing mutational rate. The mutational rate class corresponded to the total number of changes that occurred at the position within the five well-supported clades with robust internal topology: (i) *Trichomonas tenax* and *Trichomonas vaginalis*; (ii) *Pseudotrichomonas keilini*, *Monocercomonas ruminantium*, *Monotrichomonas carabina* and *Ditrichomonas honigbergii*; (iii) *Trichomitopsis termopsidis*, *Pseudotrypanosoma giganteum* and *Reticulitermes speratus* symbiont; (iv) *Tetratrichomonas limacis*, *Tetratrichomonas prowazeki*, *Tetratrichomonas gallinarum* strain A6, *Tetratrichomonas gallinarum* strain GPO and *Kaloterme flavicollis* symbiont; and (v) *Trichomonoides trypanoides* and *Hodotermopsis sjoestedti* symbiont. The number of changes was estimated in PAUP 4.0 using the 'describtre'es' command in MP mode. New alignments (s0, s1, s2) were created from the original alignment by exclusion of positions with more than zero, one and two changes, respectively. Phylogenetic trees were constructed from these alignments. Average bootstrap values and average posterior probabilities were calculated from the node values in each tree to monitor the change in overall tree robustness. Because the slow-fast method is based on the gradual exclusion of positions carrying information on the internal topology of the above-mentioned five clades, and hence the bootstraps of these nodes decrease as the sequences become identical at the s0 level, these nodes were not included in the calculation of average bootstrap values and average posterior probabilities.

The saturation analysis was performed using the program package MUST (Philippe, 1993).

RESULTS

The sequenced part of the 16S rRNA gene of *C. anatis* was 1508 bp long. In the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), which was rooted using 30 representatives of various eukaryotic groups, the sequence formed a clade with other parabasalids and the clade received the maximum statistical support. In the parabasal phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2), the *C. anatis* sequence robustly branched in the clade of Trichomonadinae, Trichomitopsiinae and Pentatrichomonoidinae (bootstrap values 94–96%).

For a detailed analysis of the position of *C. anatis* within this clade, a new alignment was created comprising only *C. anatis*, representatives of the clade and four outgroups (*Pseudotrichomonas keilini*, *Monocercomonas ruminantium*, *Monotrichomonas carabina* and *Ditrichomonas honigbergii*). In the tree constructed from this alignment (Fig. 3a), *Cochlosoma* branched with moderate support as a sister taxon to *Pentatrichomonoides scroa*. The sequence of *Cochlosoma* produced a relatively long branch, indicating an accelerated mutational rate. Deciphering the phylogenetic relationship of such a sequence is complicated by the fact that certain positions in the sequence might be substitutionally saturated, as they may have undergone more than one substitution, and the information carried by these positions is thus confusing. Consequently, the statistical support for placement of such a sequence in the tree decreases and the sequence may even be misplaced due to artificial attraction to other saturated or highly divergent sequences (Felsenstein, 1978).

One way of assessing the degree of saturation in a dataset is to plot for each pair of taxa the number of observed differences

Fig. 1. Rooted phylogenetic tree of Parabasala and related taxa based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. The tree was constructed by using the ML method in PHYML with the GTR+I+ Γ model of substitution. Numbers at nodes indicate statistical support estimated by four methods (distance bootstrap/MP bootstrap/ML bootstrap/MrBayes posterior probability; asterisks indicate that the node was not recovered using that method). To save space, the 30 eukaryotic outgroups are not shown; their position is indicated by the left-most horizontal line. Bar, 10% substitutions.

against the estimate of the 'true' number of substitutions. Such a plot for all ingroup pairs of taxa from the narrow dataset is shown in Fig. 3(c). The 'true' number of substitutions was estimated from the ML tree constructed in PHYML. If no saturation was present, the number of observed differences would be equal to the number of inferred substitutions (dashed line). In the case of our dataset, the number of observed differences was lower and the difference increased in more distant taxa, indicating that saturation was present. As expected, the highest degree of saturation was detected in pairs including *Cochlosoma* (open circles).

The influence of substitutional saturation on the topology reconstruction can be reduced by using the slow-fast method. The essence of this method involves estimation of the mutational rate of each position, then step-by-step exclusion of the fastest, and potentially most saturated, positions from the alignment and monitoring how this affects the resulting topology. The positions in our alignment were divided into four classes (0–3) of increasing mutational rate (see Methods). New alignments s2, s1 and s0 were created, from which the positions of rate class 3, 2+3 and 1+2+3, respectively, were excluded. In all trees constructed from alignments s2 and s1, *Cochlosoma* branched with low statistical support as a sister taxon to *Pentatrichomonoides scroa*, as in the original tree. Conversely, in the trees constructed from the s0 alignment, *Cochlosoma* branched as a sister taxon to *Pentatrichomonas hominis*, although the bootstrap support was still low (52–56%). As expected, the saturation plot of the s0 alignment (Fig. 3d) showed a considerably lower degree of saturation. Because the presence of the long branch leading to outgroups might enhance the long-branch attraction artefact, all analyses were repeated without the outgroups. The results of these analyses were almost identical. The trees constructed from alignments s1 and greater supported the relationship of *Cochlosoma* and *Pentatrichomonoides*, whereas in the trees based on the s0 alignment, *Cochlosoma* branched with *Pentatrichomonas*, this time with moderate (65–77%) bootstrap support. The change in

statistical support for *Cochlosoma*–*Pentatrichomonoides* and *Cochlosoma*–*Pentatrichomonas* nodes and the change in overall support of the tree in each step of the fast-sites exclusion are depicted graphically in Fig. 4. The overall tree robustness, counted as the average of bootstrap values or of posterior probabilities of the tree nodes, changed only slightly (dashed lines). The support of the *Cochlosoma*–*Pentatrichomonas* node gradually increased with fast-sites exclusion in all tree construction methods (solid lines). The most prominent increase took place between the s1 and s0 alignments and was accompanied by a sudden decrease in the support for the *Cochlosoma*–*Pentatrichomonoides* node (dotted lines).

DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic analysis based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence of *C. anatis* undoubtedly showed that this protist belongs in the phylum Parabasala. The relationships within Parabasala recovered in our analyses correspond mainly to previously published results (e.g. Keeling, 2002; Gerbod *et al.*, 2002; Hampl *et al.*, 2004; Ohkuma *et al.*, 2005). The observed differences in the shape of deeper nodes can be ascribed to the long-branch attraction or to stochastic forces, as the statistical support for many nodes in all analyses was rather low. The *Cochlosoma* sequence was robustly placed in the clade consisting of representatives of the subfamilies Trichomonadinae, Trichomitopsiinae and Pentatrichomonoidinae. The classification of *Cochlosoma* in Parabasala has already been suggested in the ultrastructural study by Pecka *et al.* (1996) that demonstrated the presence of several parabasalid characters in this protozoan (costa, parabasal body and fibres, axostyle, hydrogenosome-like bodies). The affiliation of *Cochlosoma* specifically to the subfamily Trichomonadinae is also morphologically reasonable, as the major morphological characters of members of Trichomonadinae (B-type costa and the lamelliform-type of undulating membrane) are present in *Cochlosoma*.

The 16S rRNA gene phylogeny did not explicitly determine the position of *Cochlosoma* among genera within the

Fig. 2. Unrooted phylogenetic tree of Parabasala based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. The tree was constructed by using the ML method in PHYLML with the TrN+I+Γ model of substitution. Numbers at nodes indicate statistical support estimated by four methods (distance bootstrap/MP bootstrap/ML bootstrap/MrBayes posterior probability; asterisks indicate that the node was not recovered using that method). GenBank accession numbers are given for the termite-symbiont sequences. Bar, 10% substitutions.

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic trees and saturation plots for the 16S rRNA gene sequences of *Cochlosoma*, Trichomonadinae, Trichomitopsiinae and Pentatrichomonoidinae. (a) Phylogenetic tree – complete alignment. The tree was constructed by using the ML method in PHYLIP with the TrN+I+Γ model of substitution. Numbers at nodes indicate statistical support estimated by four methods (distance bootstrap/MP bootstrap/ML bootstrap/MrBayes posterior probability; asterisks indicate that the node was not recovered using that method). The tree was rooted with sequences of *Pseudotrichomonas keilini*, *Monocercomonas ruminantium*, *Monotrichomonas carabina* and *Ditrichomonas honigbergii*. GenBank accession numbers are given for the termite-symbiont sequences. Bar, 10% substitutions. (b) Phylogenetic tree – alignment s0. Details as for (a). Bar, 1% substitutions. (c) Saturation plot – complete alignment. For each pair of taxa, the number of observed differences is plotted against the number of substitutions inferred from the ML tree. The dashed line represents the ideal case where the numbers of observed differences and inferred substitutions are equal. The pairs of taxa including *Cochlosoma* are marked by open circles. (d) Saturation plot – alignment s0. Details as for (c).

Fig. 4. Result of the slow-fast analysis of *Cochlosoma*, Trichomonadinae, Trichomitopsiinae and Pentatrichomonoidinae datasets without outgroups. Diagram of changes in overall tree robustness (dashed lines) and in statistical support for the common branch of *Cochlosoma* with *Pentatrichomonoides* (dotted lines) and *Cochlosoma* with *Pentatrichomonas* (solid lines) determined using various tree construction methods. The overall tree robustness is expressed as the average posterior probability (APP) of tree nodes computed by the Bayesian method in MrBayes 3.0 and in average bootstrap values (ABV) of tree nodes computed by MP and distance methods [neighbour-joining (NJ)] in PAUP4.0 and ML method in PHYML.

forementioned clade. At the root of this problem lies the increased mutational rate of the *Cochlosoma* sequence, manifested in the long branch of *Cochlosoma*. The fast mutational rate wiped off part of the phylogenetic information, because a fraction of sites in the sequence became mutationally saturated. The phylogenetic reconstruction based on all 1552 aligned sites placed *Cochlosoma* as a sister to the genus *Pentatrichomonoides*. The support for the common branch of *Cochlosoma* and *Pentatrichomonoides*, however, decreased after exclusion of the fast and supposedly most saturated sites. Simultaneously, the support for the relationship between *Cochlosoma* and the genus *Pentatrichomonas* increased. Although the changes were only minor after exclusion of the 141 fastest positions, they became very sharp after exclusion of another 187 fast positions. After exclusion of these positions, the mutational saturation in the dataset was reduced considerably. The support for the *Cochlosoma*–*Pentatrichomonas* relationship further increased when the outgroup sequences were excluded from the analysis.

As the relationship between *Cochlosoma* and *Pentatrichomonas* was supported by the slowest and least-saturated positions, it is more reliable than the relationship with *Pentatrichomonoides*, which could result from the long-branch attraction. Moreover, certain similarities can also be found in the ultrastructure of *Cochlosoma* and *Pentatrichomonas*. In species of both genera, the kinetosome of the sixth flagellum is situated outside the complex of the other kinetosomes, and lies perpendicular to those of the anterior flagella, thus representing the second recurrent flagellum (Honigberg *et al.*, 1968; Brugerolle, 1976; Pecka *et al.*, 1996).

In *Pentatrichomonoides*, the sixth flagellum is anterior, with its kinetosome located within the kinetosomal complex in parallel with those of the other anterior flagella (Brugerolle *et al.*, 1994). There is, however, a developmental difference between the mastigonts of *Pentatrichomonas* and *Cochlosoma*. The interphase form of *Pentatrichomonas* possesses five flagella (four anterior, one recurrent). The specimens with the sixth flagellum, although pervasive in the population, apparently represent the early stage of cytokinesis, their sixth flagellum representing a precursor of a new recurrent flagellum of the daughter cell. This is not the case with *Cochlosoma*, where the sixth flagellum appears to be a permanent feature of the non-dividing cell (Pecka *et al.*, 1996). The ultrastructural study of Pecka *et al.* (1996) revealed a unique structure in *Cochlosoma*, ribbons composed of tiny tubules (8 nm in diameter) attached to the microtubules of the pelta that, in *Cochlosoma*, forms the basis of the adhesive disc cytoskeleton. Although not reported previously in other flagellates, a structure such as this was found later in *Pseudotrypanosoma* (Brugerolle, 1999). *Pseudotrypanosoma* and *Cochlosoma* were both placed in the same part of the tree. However, our analysis undoubtedly rejected the possibility of the two taxa being exclusive sister branches; the closest relative of *Pseudotrypanosoma* was the genus *Trichomitopsis* with 100% bootstrap support. The most probable explanation for the presence of these unique tubules in *Cochlosoma* and *Pseudotrypanosoma* might be secondary loss in the genera *Pentatrichomonas* and *Trichomitopsis*.

The classification of *Cochlosoma* in the separate family Cochlosomatidae (Pecka *et al.*, 1996) conflicts with the

phylogenetic affiliations of *Cochlosoma* revealed in our analysis, because *Cochlosoma* was placed in the robust clade comprising exclusively representatives of the family Trichomonadidae. However, the easiest solution, reclassification of Cochlosomatidae as a subfamily of Trichomonadidae, is problematic. The tree shown in Fig. 3(b) represents the most reliable tree of the clade, because it is based on slow-mutating positions and is in agreement with results of other analyses (Keeling, 2002; Gerbod *et al.*, 2002; Hampl *et al.*, 2004; Ohkuma *et al.*, 2005). If we consider the tree to be correct, establishing the subfamily Cochlosomatinae and keeping the recognized subfamilies Trichomitopsiinae Brugerolle 1977 (*Trichomitopsis*, *Pseudotrypanosoma*) and Pentatrichomonoidinae Honigberg 1963 (*Pentatrichomonoides*) would inevitably mean that Trichomonadinae must be divided into two or even three subfamilies: (i) *Pentatrichomonas*; (ii) possibly *Tetratrichomonas* strain KAJ; and (iii) the remaining taxa. Such overcomplicated subdividing of the relatively small group of organisms into three subtaxa, which would not be easy to define morphologically, in our opinion would be inappropriate; moreover, some relationships in the tree are too poorly supported to serve as a base for revision. Another and our preferred approach as to how to harmonize the classification with the tree would be to join all taxa in the clade – Cochlosomatidae, Trichomitopsiinae, Pentatrichomonoidinae and the genera *Trichomonas*, *Trichomonoides*, *Tetratrichomonas* and *Pentatrichomonas* – into the single amended family Trichomonadidae. The family can be well defined by the B-type of costa, the lamelliform undulating membrane and by the absence of both a comb-like structure and infrakinetosomal body in the mastigont. Remaining taxa of the former Trichomonadidae, i.e. the genera *Trichomitus* (formerly part of the subfamily Trichomonadinae) and *Tritrichomonas* (formerly the subfamily Tritrichomonadinae), are not monophyletic with the amended Trichomonadidae. We propose that the genera *Trichomitus* and *Tritrichomonas* should form the independent families Trichomitidae and Tritrichomonadidae. The family Trichomitidae, which includes a single genus, *Trichomitus*, is characterized by the A-type of costa, by a lamelliform undulating membrane and by the presence of a comb-like structure in the mastigont. The family Tritrichomonadidae, which includes the single genus *Tritrichomonas*, is characterized by the A-type of costa, by a rail-type undulating membrane and by the presence of both a comb-like structure and infrakinetosomal body in the mastigont.

Our new classification is closer to the natural system because it reorganizes the highly polyphyletic former Trichomonadidae together with Cochlosomatidae into three morphologically well-defined monophyla. This revision, however, does not solve all the problems of parabasal systematics, because other higher taxa are still non-monophyletic, namely the family Monocercomonadidae and order Trichomonadida. Solving these issues is beyond the scope of the present paper and can only be achieved together with a general revision of the phylum Parabasala. It is to be

expected that some taxa in the future will be incorporated into the newly established families or vice versa, e.g. the genus *Hypotrichomonas* to Trichomitidae, free-living genera and the genera *Hexamastix* and *Tricercomitus* to Trichomonadidae and Tritrichomonadidae to Cristamonadida.

Taxonomic summary

Trichomonadida Kirby 1947

Diagnosis: parabasalids with a single karyomastigont, one to five anterior flagella or flagellar system absent, costa present or absent, cresta absent.

Monocercomonadidae Kirby 1944

Diagnosis: one to five anterior flagella or flagellar system absent, undulating membrane present or absent, costa absent, comb-like structure and infrakinetosomal body in mastigont present or absent, parabasal body of various shapes.

Type genus *Monocercomonas* Grassi 1879.

Trichomonadidae Chalmers & Pekkola 1918 emend.

Diagnosis: four or five anterior flagella, undulating membrane of lamelliform-type, costa of B-type present, neither comb-like structure nor infrakinetosomal body present in mastigont, parabasal body of various shapes.

Type genus *Trichomonas* Donné 1836.

Other genera: *Tetratrichomonas* Parisi 1910; *Pentatrichomonas* Mesnil 1914; *Pseudotrypanosoma* Grassi 1917; *Trichomitopsis* Kofoed & Swezy 1919; *Cochlosoma* Kotlán 1923; *Pentatrichomonoides* Kirby 1931; *Trichomonoides* Brugerolle & Bordereau 2004.

Tritrichomonadidae Honigberg 1963 emend.

Diagnosis: three or four anterior flagella, undulating membrane of rail-type, costa of A-type present, comb-like structure and infrakinetosomal body present in mastigont, parabasal body rod-shaped. Previously held the rank of subfamily.

Type genus *Tritrichomonas* Kofoed 1920.

No other genera.

Trichomitidae fam. nov.

Diagnosis: three anterior flagella, undulating membrane of lamelliform-type, costa of A-type present, comb-like structure, but not infrakinetosomal body, present in mastigont. Parabasal body biramous.

Type genus *Trichomitus* Swezy 1915.

No other genera.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr Pecková for providing the strain of *C. anatis*. This work was supported by grant GAUK 264/1999 and MŠMT project 0021620828.

REFERENCES

- Brinkmann, H. & Philippe, H. (1999). Archaea sister group of bacteria? Indications from tree reconstruction artifacts in ancient phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* **16**, 817–825.
- Brugerolle, G. (1976). Cytologie ultrastructurale, systematique et evolution des Trichomonadida. *Ann Stn Biol Besse-en-Chandesse* **10**, 1–57 (in French).
- Brugerolle, G. (1999). Fine structure of *Pseudotrypanosoma giganteum* of porotermes, a trichomonad with a contractile costa. *Eur J Protistol* **35**, 121–128.
- Brugerolle, G., Breunig, A. & König, H. (1994). Ultrastructural study of *Pentatrichomonoides* sp., a trichomonad flagellate from *Mastotermes darwiniensis*. *Eur J Protistol* **30**, 372–378.
- Campbell, J. G. (1945). An infection of young turkeys associated with *Cochlosoma* sp. *Vet J* **101**, 255–259.
- Cooper, G. L., Shivaprasad, H. L., Bickford, A. A., Nordhausen, R., Munn, R. J. & Jeffrey, J. S. (1995). Enteritis in turkeys associated with an unusual flagellated protozoan (*Cochlosoma anatis*). *Avian Dis* **39**, 183–190.
- Felsenstein, J. (1978). Cases in which parsimony or compatibility methods will be positively misleading. *Syst Zool* **27**, 401–410.
- Gerbod, D., Noël, C., Dolan, M. F. & 9 other authors (2002). Molecular phylogeny of parabasalids inferred from small subunit rRNA sequences, with emphasis on the Devescovinidae and Calonymphidae (Trichomonadea). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **25**, 545–556.
- Grassé, P. P. (1952). *Traité de Zoologie*. Tome I – *Phylogénie Protozoaires. Généralités Flagellés*. Paris: Masson et Cie (in French).
- Guindon, S. & Gascuel, O. (2003). A simple, fast and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst Biol* **52**, 696–704.
- Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser* **41**, 95–98.
- Hampl, V., Cepicka, I., Flegr, J., Tachezy, J. & Kulda, J. (2004). Critical analysis of the topology and rooting of the parabasal 16S rRNA tree. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **32**, 711–723.
- Honigberg, B. M., Mattern, C. F. & Daniel, W. A. (1968). Structure of *Pentatrichomonas hominis* (Davaïne) as revealed by electron microscopy. *J Protozool* **15**, 419–430.
- Keeling, P. J. (2002). Molecular phylogenetic position of *Trichomitopsis termopsidis* (Parabasalia) and evidence for the Trichomitopsiinae. *Eur J Protistol* **38**, 279–286.
- Kotlán, A. (1923). Zur Kenntnis der Darmflagellaten aus der Hausente und anderen Wasservögeln. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Parasitenkd Infektionskr Hyg* **90**, 24–28 (in German).
- Kulda, J. & Nohýnková, E. (1978). Flagellates of the human intestine and of intestines of other species. In *Parasitic Protozoa*, pp. 1–138. Edited by J. P. Kreier. New York: Academic Press.
- McElroy, S. M., Szalanski, A. L., McKay, T., Bermudez, A. J., Owens, C. B. & Steelman, C. D. (2005). Molecular assay for the detection of *Cochlosoma anatis* in house flies and turkey specimens by polymerase chain reaction. *Vet Parasitol* **127**, 165–168.
- Ohkuma, M., Iida, T., Ohtoko, K., Yuzawa, H., Noda, S., Viscogliosi, E. & Kudo, T. (2005). Molecular phylogeny of parabasalids inferred from small subunit rRNA sequences, with emphasis on the Hypermastigae. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **35**, 646–655.
- Pecka, Z. (1991). Domestic geese (*Anser anser* L.) as a new host of *Cochlosoma anatis* Kotlán, 1923. *Folia Parasitol* **38**, 91–92.
- Pecka, Z., Nohýnková, E. & Kulda, J. (1996). Ultrastructure of *Cochlosoma anatis* Kotlán, 1923 and taxonomic position of the family Cochlosomatidae (Parabasalia: Trichomonadida). *Eur J Protistol* **32**, 190–201.
- Philippe, H. (1993). MUST, a computer package of management utilities for sequences and trees. *Nucleic Acids Res* **21**, 5264–5272.
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2003). MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* **19**, 1572–1574.
- Swofford, D. L. (1998). PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and other methods), version 4. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Tachezy, J., Tachezy, R., Hampl, V., Šedinová, M., Vaňáčková, Š., Vrlík, M., Van Ranst, M., Flegr, J. & Kulda, J. (2002). Cattle pathogen *Tritrichomonas foetus* (Riedmüller, 1928) and pig commensal *Tritrichomonas suis* (Gruby & Delafond, 1843) belong to the same species. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* **49**, 154–163.
- Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. & Higgins, D. G. (1997). The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**, 4876–4882.
- Watkins, R. A., O'Dell, W. D. & Pinter, A. J. (1989). Redescription of flagellar arrangement in the duck intestinal flagellate, *Cochlosoma anatis* and description of a new species, *Cochlosoma soricis* n. sp. from shrews. *J Protozool* **36**, 527–531.